UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/621,494	07/17/2003	Johannis Josephus Den Boer	TS 6381 (US)	2619
23632 SHELL OIL CO	7590 05/27/200 <b>DMPANY</b>	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 2463		NGUYEN, TAI T		
HOUSTON, TX 772522463			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/27/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/621,494	DEN BOER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tai T. Nguyen	2612
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 F</u> 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This      3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 27-29 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 and 27-29 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed as a composition and a composition and a composition to the separate and a composition and a compositio	cepted or b) objected to by the liderawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/621,494 Page 2

Art Unit: 2612

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 9 recites the limitation "the end faces" in line 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- 5. Claims 1, 4-12, and 27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Zierolf (US 6,333,699).

Regarding claim 8, Zierolf discloses a string (10) of joined tubulars (12), comprising a marker in the form of a radio frequency identification device (30) arranged in a cavity (20) that has been created into an end surface of at least one of the tubulars,

Art Unit: 2612

and wherein the end surface is pressed against or welded to an end surface of an adjacent tubular (figure 1, col. 8, lines 7-26).

Regarding claim 10, Zierolf discloses wherein a plurality of joints (14) are provided with markers (figure 1).

Regarding claim 11, Zierolf discloses wherein each marker transmits a radio, magnetic, radioactive or other detectable signal which is different to the signal transmitted by any other marker (col. 8, lines 48-62).

Regarding claim 12, Zierolf discloses wherein the string is a string of oilfield and/or well tubulars (figure 1, 7, line 66 through col. 8, line 6).

Regarding claims 1, 4-7, 27-29, the claimed method steps would have been inherent in the product structure as stated in claims 8 and 10-12 above above.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 2-3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zierolf.

Regarding claim 9, Zierolf discloses the end surfaces are substantially disk shaped but fails to disclose it being joined by forge welding. Since Zierolf discloses a coupling sleeve (18) for securing the two ends (16) together (figure 1, col. 8, lines 7-12).

it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the other joint technique, such as forge welding, to joint two ends together for the purpose of securing those two ends together because it is just an obvious design choice.

Regarding claims 2-3, the claimed method steps would have been inherent in the product structure as stated in claim 9 above.

#### Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-12, and 27-29 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tai T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2961. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30am-5:00pm..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel J. Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/621,494 Page 5

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tai T. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612

May 24, 2008